


10/96

- U.S. economy: losing momentum?
- The Region: manufacturing recovery into 3rd month
- Focus on “Reverse Commuting”

# Employment Trends Monthly



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
**ASSOCIATION of GOVERNMENTS**



# Mission Statement

To enhance the quality of life of all Southern Californians by working in partnership with all levels of government, the business sector, and the community at large to meet regional challenges and to resolve regional differences.

## Regional Council Members

### OFFICERS:

**PRESIDENT:** Mayor Pro Tem Dick Kelly, Palm Desert

**FIRST VICE PRESIDENT:** Supervisor Yvonne Brathwaite Burke, Los Angeles County

**SECOND VICE PRESIDENT:** Mayor, Bob Bartlett, City of Monrovia

**IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT:** Supervisor, Bob Buster, Riverside County

**IMPERIAL COUNTY:** Sam Sharp, Imperial County • David Dhillon, El Centro

**LOS ANGELES COUNTY:** Yvonne Brathwaite Burke, Los Angeles County  
• Richard Alarcon, Los Angeles • Richard Alatorre, Los Angeles • Eileen Ansari, Diamond Bar • Bob Bartlett, Monrovia • George Bass, Bell  
• Sue Bauer, Glendora • Hal Bernson, Los Angeles • Marvin Braude, Los Angeles • Robert Bruesch, Rosemead • Laura Chick, Los Angeles • John Crawley, Cerritos • Joe Dawidziak, Redondo Beach • Doug Drummond, Long Beach • John Ferraro, Los Angeles • Michael Feuer, Los Angeles • Karyn Foley, Calabasas • Ruth Galanter, Los Angeles • Eileen Givens, Glendale • Jackie Goldberg, Los Angeles • Garland Hardeman, Inglewood • Mike Hernandez, Los Angeles • Nate Holden, Los Angeles • Abbe Land, West Hollywood • Barbara Messina, Alhambra • David Myers, Palmdale • George Nakano, Torrance • Jenny Oropeza, Long Beach • Beatrice Proo, Pico Rivera • Mark Ridley-Thomas, Los Angeles • Richard Riordan, Los Angeles • Albert Robles, South Gate • Marcine Shaw, Compton • Ray Smith, Bellflower • Rudy Svorinich, Los Angeles • Joel Wachs, Los Angeles • Rita Walters, Los Angeles • Judy Wright, Claremont • Paul Zee, South Pasadena

**ORANGE COUNTY:** Marian Bergeson, Orange County • Ron Bates, Los Alamitos • Art Brown, Buena Park • Jan Debay, Newport Beach • Richard Dixon, Lake Forest • Sandra Genis, Costa Mesa • Candace Haggard, San Clemente • Bev Perry, Brea • Wally Linn, La Palma

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY:** Bob Buster, Riverside County • Dennis Draeger, Calimesa • Dick Kelly, Palm Desert • Ron Loveridge, Riverside • Ron Roberts, Temecula

**SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY:** Larry Walker, San Bernardino County  
• Bill Alexander, Rancho Cucamonga • Jim Bagley, Twentynine Palms • Deirdre Bennett, Colton • David Eshleman, Fontana • Tom Minor, San Bernardino • Gwenn Norton-Perry, Chino Hills • Robert Nolan, Upland

**VENTURA COUNTY:** Judy Mikels, Ventura County • Andrew Fox, Thousand Oaks • Stan Daily, Camarillo • John Melton, Santa Paula

Rev. 9/3/96



# Table of Contents

<b>The National Economy</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>The Region: Employment and Economic Trends</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Reverse Commuting</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>The Index of Regional Leading Economic Indicators</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>The Region at a Glance</b>	
<b>Figure 1</b> Wage and Salary Employment	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 2</b> Change in Employment	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 3</b> Comparative Unemployment Rates: The Region vs. United States	<b>10</b>
<b>Figure 4</b> Percentage Changes in Employment by Major Sector	<b>11</b>
<b>Figure 5</b> Comparative Unemployment Rates: Counties	<b>12</b>
<b>County Labor Markets</b>	
Imperial County	<b>13</b>
Los Angeles County	<b>14</b>
Orange County	<b>15</b>
Riverside/San Bernardino MSA	<b>16</b>
Ventura County	<b>17</b>
<b>Employment Data Tables</b>	
<b>Table 1</b> The Region: Wage & Salary Employment	<b>18</b>
<b>Table 2</b> Change in Employment from Previous Month	<b>18</b>
<b>Table 3</b> Change in Employment from Year Earlier	<b>19</b>
<b>Table 4</b> Comparative Unemployment Rates	<b>19</b>
<b>Table 5</b> Current Employment and Change in Employment From Year Earlier, by Major Sector	<b>20</b>

*Employment Trends Monthly* is published by the  
Economic Analysis Division, Forecasting, Analysis,  
and Monitoring Department  
**Southern California Association of Governments**  
Department Director: Dr. Arnold I. Sherwood  
Editor: Dr. Bruce F. DeVine, Chief Economist



## National Economy

Following considerable speculation, the outcome of the September Federal Reserve policy meeting was that the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) members adjourned without public comment, leaving short-term interest rates unchanged. Apparently, for the time being, Fed policy makers are satisfied that the stronger-than-expected U.S. economic growth since the second quarter does not yet pose a significant risk of inflation.

One of the most frequently cited arguments against an interest rate hike by the Fed in its September FOMC meeting was that despite strong economic growth and an extended period of low and declining unemployment, general price pressure remains low at both the wholesale and consumer levels. Moreover, some recently-released economic statistics paint a mixed picture of the strength of the U.S. economy. A few indicators in fact turned

negative, pointing to a national economy that may already have lost some of its momentum.

Among the latter, the Department of Commerce reported that **durable goods orders** fell a sharp 3.1% in August, the biggest monthly drop since April 1995. In a separate report, the Commerce Department said August **factory orders** also showed a significant decline, dropping by 1.9%, the biggest retreat in more than three years. According to the U.S. Department of Labor's latest job report, **payroll employment** dropped by an unexpected 40,000 jobs in September, while the U.S. seasonally-adjusted **unemployment rate** ticked up 0.1%, to 5.2% in September. The September drop in wage and salary employment was the first monthly decline since January, and represents a sharp reversal from a monthly average gain of almost 300,000 jobs recorded between February and August.

## The Region: Employment and Economic Trends

The preliminary Employment Development Department (EDD) statistics for August show that **total wage and salary employment** in SCAG's six counties/MSAs combined was 6,117,500, up 139,800 jobs, or 2.3 percent, from year-earlier (Figures 1 and 2, Tables 1 and 3). Regionwide, the sectors showing the most robust year-over-year employment growth include: *agriculture* (up 4,500 jobs, or 7.6%), *construction* (+14,600 jobs, or 6.5%), *apparel and textiles* (+5,100 jobs, or 3.8%), *wholesale trade* (+16,100 jobs, or 3.6%), and *services* (+75,700 jobs, or 4.3%). Within the service sector, the *business services* component, *amusement and recreation*, *engineering and management* and *motion pictures* were especially strong (Figure 4 and Table 5).

Moreover, both *durable goods manufacturing* and the *manufacturing sector* as a whole have registered modest job growth for the third month in a row, indicating that the overall manufacturing job market has stabilized. We should also point out that while employment in the *finance, insurance and real estate (F.I.R.E.)* sector is still fractionally below its year-ago level, the job losses are concentrated in banking and insurance. Employment in the *real estate* sector has actually started to grow moderately on a year-over-year basis. For example, in Los Angeles County, where job figures are available for detailed sub-components within the

F.I.R.E. sector, year-over-year employment changes in real estate have been positive since October 1995, registering annual average job growth of almost 1.5 percent for the past 11 months.

The region's **weighted average unemployment rate** (Figure 3 and Table 4) improved considerably between July and August, dropping to 7.6 percent from 8.3 percent in July. More importantly, the regional jobless rate also showed a significant decline from its year-earlier level of 8.1 percent. Decreases in unemployment rates on a year-over-year basis were posted across the board except in *Imperial County*, where August's unemployment rate was fractionally higher than in August 1995. Both the national and California jobless rates (seasonally *unadjusted*) also declined between July and August—from 5.6% to 5.1% for the U.S. and from 7.7% to 6.9% for California. Both rates were also substantially below their year-ago levels.

### Reverse Commuting

In the August 1992 issue of *SCAG Employment Trends Monthly*, we discussed the "intercounty job market linkage" between Los Angeles County and the Inland Empire--the Riverside/San Bernardino Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). The EDD monthly wage and salary employment

data (based on job counts at the place of work) and the unemployment figures (from the household survey, which counts unemployed workers by their place of residence) suggest a negative correlation between the Los Angeles County job market and Inland Empire unemployment. That is, an improvement in Los Angeles County's labor market should be reflected, with some time lag, in a reduction in Inland Empire unemployment rates. We concluded that if this correlation is valid, it was unlikely that we would see a significant drop in the unemployment rates in Riverside and San Bernardino Counties until a healthy pickup in job growth in Los Angeles County had occurred.

This relationship is as valid today as when we reported it four years ago. EDD labor market statistics show that wage and salary employment in Los Angeles County is still about 270,000 jobs below its pre-recession peak. They also show that the unemployment rate in the Riverside/San Bernardino MSA remains relatively high

In Table A below, we compare employment changes by place of residence to employment changes by place of work for each SCAG county/MSA between August 1990 and August 1996. As might be expected, "reverse commuting" occurred to some extent between Los Angeles County and all of its neighboring counties over the period. Ventura County created a significant number of jobs for non-residents, but the largest net increase took place in the Riverside/San Bernardino MSA.

In an effort to shed more light on this subject, we analyzed monthly data on changes in employment by place of work and by place of residence for the Riverside/San Bernardino MSA over the period from January 1984 through August 1996. These data substantiate the reverse commuting hypothesis, showing a distinct difference between pre-1990 and subsequent years. Prior to 1990, employment by place of work was virtually never greater than resident employment; after 1990 the reverse is true: on balance, employment in the Inland Empire has

**Table A Employment Changes by Place of Residence and by Place of Work: August 1996 vs. August 1990**

		UG	UG	( )	( )	( )
						( )*
<b>Imperial</b>	Employment by place of residence (R)	33,300	41,500	8,200		
	Wage & salary jobs by place of work (W)	36,850	48,000	11,150		2,950
<b>L</b>	Employment by place of residence (R)	4,304,500	4,082,000	-222,500		
	Wage & salary jobs by place of work (W)	4,104,100	3,831,200	-272,900		-50,400
<b>Orange</b>	Employment by place of residence (R)	1,292,300	1,292,100	-200		
	Wage & salary jobs by place of work (W)	1,171,700	1,176,800	5,100		5,300
<b>/S B MS</b>	Employment by place of residence (R)	1,126,300	1,194,700	68,400		
	Wage & salary jobs by place of work (W)	722,800	805,100	82,300		13,900
<b>Ventura</b>	Employment by place of residence (R)	353,600	356,700	3,100		
	Wage & salary jobs by place of work (W)	245,500	256,400	10,900		7,800

Note: \* A positive value in this column indicates a net increase in *non-resident workers commuting into* the county between 1990 and 1996.

A negative value indicates an increase in the number of *resident workers commuting out* to other counties to work.

even though the two counties combined have created over 82,000 payroll jobs since August 1990. In fact, as suggested by Dr. John Husing, author of the *Inland Empire Quarterly Economic Report*, based on a comparison of EDD labor market statistics for July 1990 and July 1996, wage and salary employment growth in the Inland Empire has been so strong that a portion of the newly added jobs is being filled by workers from Los Angeles County! This phenomenon could be called "reverse commuting"—a daily movement of workers from Los Angeles County commuting eastward to the Inland Empire to work.

exceeded the number of jobs held by residents there during the 1991-96 period.

More detailed data and investigation will be needed to draw definitive conclusions about why the reverse commuting phenomenon occurred and whether it will continue in the future. However, possible explanations could include:

- There is evidence to suggest that a number of firms in Los Angeles County have closed down and



moved their operations to the Inland Empire. If their employees were resident in Los Angeles County and did not choose to move to Riverside/San Bernardino, they would become Eastbound commuters.

- The Riverside/San Bernardino MSA was the only area in the SCAG region that added jobs during the 1991-93 recession, while Los Angeles County was the hardest hit by job losses. Thus it would not be surprising if unemployed workers from Los Angeles County applied for and found work in the Inland Empire during the recession.

The second explanation gives reason to be cautious about concluding that the recent trend will persist into the future. In his recent communication to *Employment Trends Monthly*, Dr. Husing states that reverse commuting into Riverside/San Bernardino MSA is likely to be a temporary phenomenon:

As soon as Los Angeles County begins reaching normal growth levels, you will undoubtedly see more East to West commuting. In addition, sooner or later there will be another round of affordable housing out-migration to the Inland Empire, creating a future pool of [west-bound] commuters.

### The Index of Regional Leading Economic Indicators

The CSU Long Beach Office of Economic Research reports that the **Index of Regional Leading Economic Indicators** has increased for the second month in a row, to 95.6 in September from 95.5 in August and 95.3 in July. The index was unchanged from its year-ago level.

In general, it takes three consecutive moves of the index in the same direction to constitute evidence of the likely future direction of the regional economy. The September and August upticks in the index followed a five-month string of downward moves, meaning that the weight of evidence still indicates that the regional economy could begin to slow down in six months or so. More successive months of upward movement in the index will be required to rule out this possibility.

For additional information and comment on the Index of Regional Leading Economic Indicators, Please contact Lisa Grobar (310) 985-1652, Joseph Magaddino (310) 985-8136, or Peter Griffin (310) 985-4783, professors of Economics and authors of the index.

## N O

You can now read and download SCAG *Employment Trends Monthly* through the SCAG Home Page on Internet. The address is: [www.scag.ca.gov](http://www.scag.ca.gov), under the heading "What's New".

# The Region at a Glance

Figures 1-5 are based on combined totals for the Region's Counties.

Figure 1

## Wage and Salary Employment

(Millions of Jobs)




Figure 2

## Change in Employment From previous year

(Thousands of Jobs)







Figure 3

## Comparative Unemployment Rates The Region vs. U.S. 1995-96 (Percent)



**NOTE:**  
\*Basic data analysed by Southern California Association of Governments in this bulletin are published by the Employment Development Department (EDD), State of California.\*


# The Region at a Glance

Figure 4

## Percentage Changes in Employment by Major Sector\*

The Region  
August, 1995 - August, 1996

\* Sectors with more than 75,000 jobs, except farm sector.




# The Region at a Glance

Figure 5

**Comparative Unemployment Rates**  
Southern California Association of Governments' Counties  
(Percent)

(1995-1996)



Imperial County's unemployment rate is plotted on p. 13



# Imperial County

## Wage and Salary Employment



(Thousands of Jobs)



## Change in Employment

From previous month



(Thousands of Jobs)



## Change in Employment



From previous year

(Thousands of Jobs)



## Comparative Unemployment Rates


1995-96 (Percent)



# Los Angeles County



## Wage and Salary Employment

(Millions of Jobs)





## Change in Employment From previous month

(Thousands of Jobs)





## Change in Employment From previous year

(Thousands of Jobs)




## Comparative Unemployment Rates 1995-96 (Percent)



# Orange County



## Wage and Salary Employment

(Millions of Jobs)





## Change in Employment From previous month

(Thousands of Jobs)





## Change in Employment From previous year

(Thousands of Jobs)




## Comparative Unemployment Rates 1995-96 (Percent)



# Riverside/San Bernardino MSA



## Wage and Salary Employment

(Thousands of Jobs)





## Change in Employment From previous month

(Thousands of Jobs)





## Change in Employment From previous year

(Thousands of Jobs)



## Comparative Unemployment Rates 1995-96 (Percent)







# Ventura County



## Wage and Salary Employment

(Thousands of Jobs)





## Change in Employment From previous month

(Thousands of Jobs)





## Change in Employment From previous year

(Thousands of Jobs)



## Comparative Unemployment Rates 1995-96 (Percent)



# Employment Data Tables

**TABLE 1 THE REGION: WAGE AND SALARY EMPLOYMENT (THOUSAND JOBS)**

COUNTY/MSA	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug (P)	% of Region Total Latest Month
<b>IMPERIAL</b>													
1994/1995	43.9	50.1	48.4	48.6	50.1	52.6	51.0	48.6	51.6	49.6	44.9	43.7	0.73
1995/1996	45.5	49.6	50.1	49.3	51.2	53.0	52.0	51.2	54.1	54.0	48.2	48.0	0.78
<b>LOS ANGELES</b>													
1994/1995	3,723.9	3,724.9	3,753.6	3,776.7	3,684.8	3,737.7	3,755.4	3,757.6	3,773.7	3,779.6	3,752.5	3,749.4	62.72
1995/1996	3,789.0	3,807.5	3,830.4	3,839.2	3,782.1	3,819.5	3,833.6	3,837.9	3,851.4	3,855.9	3,837.4	3,831.2	62.63
<b>ORANGE</b>													
1994/1995	1,142.7	1,141.1	1,151.3	1,161.8	1,129.1	1,143.9	1,151.3	1,152.2	1,154.4	1,163.2	1,149.9	1,149.6	19.23
1995/1996	1,157.2	1,164.5	1,169.9	1,175.0	1,157.1	1,167.5	1,172.2	1,177.0	1,182.5	1,187.9	1,177.9	1,176.8	19.24
<b>RIV/SB</b>													
1994/1995	775.6	778.0	789.1	802.5	782.5	788.4	801.7	801.8	809.0	810.5	786.2	782.3	13.09
1995/1996	797.0	800.3	807.7	811.4	801.5	804.9	816.8	817.4	826.4	830.4	808.5	805.1	13.16
<b>VENTURA</b>													
1994/1995	252.0	253.0	250.8	252.1	245.2	252.5	256.7	259.3	259.1	257.9	258.6	252.7	4.23
1995/1996	253.8	257.6	255.6	255.4	253.6	259.1	259.9	261.9	264.3	261.3	259.7	256.4	4.19
<b>The Region</b>													
1994/1995	5,938.1	5,947.1	5,993.2	6,041.7	5,891.7	5,975.1	6,016.1	6,019.5	6,047.8	6,060.8	5,992.1	5,977.7	100.0
1995/1996	6,042.5	6,079.5	6,113.7	6,130.3	6,045.5	6,104.0	6,134.5	6,145.4	6,178.7	6,189.5	6,131.7	6,117.5	100.0
(12MMA)*	6,000.4	6,011.5	6,021.5	6,028.9	6,041.7	6,052.5	6,062.3	6,072.8	6,083.7	6,094.5	6,106.1	6,117.7	

(P) = Latest month preliminary, previous months revised. All figures are based on First-quarter 1994 benchmark and include employment in agriculture. The same applies to data in Tables 2-5 and the corresponding graphs.

\* Moving average of 12 months data ending in months shown.

**TABLE 2 CHANGE IN EMPLOYMENT FROM PREVIOUS MONTH (THOUSAND JOBS)**

COUNTY/MSA	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug
<b>IMPERIAL</b>												
1994/1995	1.4	6.2	-1.7	0.2	1.5	2.5	-1.6	-2.4	3.0	-2.0	-4.7	-1.2
1995/1996	1.8	4.1	0.5	-0.8	1.9	1.8	-1.0	-0.8	2.9	-0.1	-5.8	-0.2
<b>LOS ANGELES</b>												
1994/1995	38.6	1.0	28.7	23.1	-91.9	52.9	17.7	2.2	16.1	5.9	-27.1	-3.1
1995/1996	39.6	18.5	22.9	8.8	-57.1	37.4	14.1	4.3	13.5	4.5	-18.5	-6.2
<b>ORANGE</b>												
1994/1995	13.2	-1.6	10.2	10.5	-32.7	14.8	7.4	0.9	2.2	8.8	-13.3	-0.3
1995/1996	7.6	7.3	5.4	5.1	-17.9	10.4	4.7	4.8	5.5	5.4	-10.0	-1.1
<b>RIV/SB</b>												
1994/1995	13.7	2.4	11.1	13.4	-20.0	5.9	13.3	0.1	7.2	1.5	-24.3	-3.9
1995/1996	14.7	3.3	7.4	3.7	-9.9	3.4	11.9	0.6	9.0	4.0	-21.9	-3.4
<b>VENTURA</b>												
1994/1995	3.3	1.0	-2.2	1.3	-6.9	7.3	4.2	2.6	-0.2	-1.2	0.7	-5.9
1995/1996	1.1	3.8	-2.0	-0.2	-1.8	5.5	0.8	2.0	2.4	-3.0	-1.6	-3.3
<b>The Region</b>												
1994/1995	70.2	9.0	46.1	48.5	-150.0	83.4	41.0	3.4	28.3	13.0	-68.7	-14.4
1995/1996	64.8	37.0	34.2	16.6	-84.8	58.5	30.5	10.9	33.3	10.8	-57.8	-14.2

# Employment Data Tables

TABLE 3 CHANGE IN EMPLOYMENT FROM YEAR EARLIER (THOUSAND JOBS)

COUNTY/MSA	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug Thousands	Aug Percent
<b>IMPERIAL</b>													
1994/1995	2.2	3.6	3.2	3.1	2.5	2.7	-1.3	-1.0	-1.6	-2.9	2.3	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.8</b>
1995/1996	1.6	-0.5	1.7	0.7	1.1	0.4	1.0	2.6	2.5	4.4	3.3	<b>4.3</b>	<b>9.8</b>
<b>LOS ANGELES</b>													
1994/1995	8.0	19.7	40.2	35.8	41.1	56.4	41.9	52.2	63.4	61.8	64.1	<b>64.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>
1995/1996	65.1	82.6	76.8	62.5	97.3	81.8	78.2	80.3	77.7	76.3	84.9	<b>81.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>
<b>ORANGE</b>													
1994/1995	18.1	15.1	23.3	25.2	21.3	25.9	23.0	25.1	23.4	25.9	20.3	<b>20.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>
1995/1996	14.5	23.4	18.6	13.2	28.0	23.6	20.9	24.8	28.1	24.7	28.0	<b>27.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>
<b>RIV/SB</b>													
1994/1995	26.0	25.5	30.3	33.4	29.1	32.5	34.8	33.8	31.0	28.0	24.7	<b>20.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>
1995/1996	21.4	22.3	18.6	8.9	19.0	16.5	15.1	15.6	17.4	19.9	22.3	<b>22.8</b>	<b>2.9</b>
<b>VENTURA</b>													
1994/1995	10.8	9.0	9.4	8.2	4.9	6.4	5.5	4.4	3.7	1.8	6.0	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1.6</b>
1995/1996	1.8	4.6	4.8	3.3	8.4	6.6	3.2	2.6	5.2	3.4	1.1	<b>3.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>
<b>The Region</b>													
1994/1995	65.1	72.9	106.4	105.7	98.9	123.9	103.9	114.5	119.9	114.6	117.4	<b>109.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>
1995/1996	104.4	132.4	120.5	88.6	153.8	128.9	118.4	125.9	130.9	128.7	139.6	<b>139.8</b>	<b>2.3</b>

TABLE 4 COMPARATIVE UNEMPLOYMENT RATES, 1995/1996 (PERCENT, NOT SEASONALLY ADJUSTED)

AREA	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug
<b>UNITED STATES</b>	5.6	5.4	5.2	5.3	5.2	6.3	6.0	5.8	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.1
<b>CALIFORNIA</b>	7.7	7.5	7.5	7.7	7.3	8.2	8.2	7.8	7.4	7.1	7.3	7.7	6.9
<b>SCAG REGION*</b>	8.1	7.9	7.5	7.5	6.9	7.8	7.8	7.5	7.4	7.3	7.5	8.3	7.6
<b>IMPERIAL</b>	34.3	34.2	33.2	31.3	28.1	27.9	26.5	25.3	27.8	24.4	26.4	34.0	34.6
<b>LOS ANGELES</b>	8.3	8.0	7.6	7.7	7.2	8.4	8.5	8.3	8.2	8.2	8.3	9.1	8.1
<b>ORANGE</b>	5.4	5.3	5.1	4.8	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2
<b>RIVERSIDE</b>	11.0	10.8	9.8	9.2	8.1	8.8	8.8	7.8	7.8	7.4	8.2	9.8	9.2
<b>SAN BERNARDINO</b>	8.0	8.1	8.2	7.9	7.1	8.1	8.0	7.4	7.4	7.5	7.8	8.1	7.4
<b>VENTURA</b>	8.6	8.5	7.6	8.4	7.4	7.6	6.9	6.3	6.1	6.0	6.6	8.0	8.1

\* SCAG region unemployment rate is a weighted average. Weights used are the average size of the civilian labor force in each County/MSA in 1990.

Table 5 Current Employment and Change in Employment from Year Earlier, by Major Sector \*

August, 1996

	Jobs by Sector (Thousands)					Change in Employment from Year Earlier (Thousands)					REGION TOTAL	
	IMP	LA	OR	RIV/SB	VEN	REGION TOTAL	IMP	LA	OR	RIV/SB	VEN Thousands	Percent
<b>Total, All Industries**</b>	48.0	3,831.2	1,176.8	805.1	256.4	<b>6,117.5</b>	4.3	81.8	27.2	22.8	3.7	<b>139.8</b>
Total Farm	13.4	9.8	6.0	18.8	16.1	<b>64.1</b>	3.2	0.8	-0.4	-0.4	1.3	<b>4.5</b>
Total Nonfarm Employment	34.6	3,821.4	1,170.8	786.3	240.3	<b>6,053.4</b>	1.1	81.0	27.6	23.2	2.4	<b>135.3</b>
Construction***	1.7	125.1	53.2	48.3	11.9	<b>240.2</b>	0.0	10.1	1.4	2.9	0.2	<b>14.6</b>
Manufacturing, Total	2.0	633.7	205.7	97.0	30.5	<b>968.9</b>	0.1	2.4	1.3	2.5	0.3	<b>6.6</b>
Durable Goods, Total	0.7	342.9	135.6	63.1	22.2	<b>564.5</b>	0.0	-0.4	0.9	1.5	0.4	<b>2.4</b>
Primary & Fabricated Metal Prod.	(b)	60.5	20.5	15.5	2.4	<b>98.9</b>	0.0	1.0	0.9	0.5	0.0	<b>2.5</b>
Industrial Machinery	(b)	45.7	24.5	6.8	4.4	<b>81.4</b>	0.0	-0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	<b>0.5</b>
Electronic Equipment	(b)	43.5	27.1	6.7	6.4	<b>83.7</b>	0.0	-0.6	0.4	0.1	0.1	<b>0.0</b>
Transportation Equipment	(b)	80.0	18.9	9.2	2.6	<b>110.7</b>	0.0	-0.6	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	<b>-0.8</b>
Instruments and Related	(b)	50.6	27.2	4.0	3.6	<b>85.4</b>	0.0	0.5	-0.6	-0.1	-0.2	<b>-0.5</b>
Nondurable Goods, Total	1.3	290.8	70.1	33.9	8.3	<b>404.4</b>	0.1	2.8	0.4	1.0	-0.1	<b>4.2</b>
Apparel & Textile	(b)	123.0	14.0	2.9	0.8	<b>140.7</b>	0.0	4.5	0.6	0.2	-0.2	<b>5.1</b>
Paper, Printing, Publishing	(b)	64.1	20.5	7.9	1.9	<b>94.4</b>	0.0	-1.9	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	<b>-2.1</b>
Transportation, Communication, Utilities	2.0	206.8	44.1	41.8	10.5	<b>305.2</b>	0.1	0.7	0.9	-0.2	-0.1	<b>1.4</b>
Wholesale Trade	1.9	275.2	88.5	37.8	58.9	<b>462.3</b>	0.1	10.1	3.7	1.5	0.7	<b>16.1</b>
Retail Trade	7.6	594.3	209.0	171.6	5.8	<b>988.3</b>	-0.1	10.2	3.4	3.6	0.0	<b>17.1</b>
F.I.R.E.	1.2	224.1	84.9	29.2	10.5	<b>349.9</b>	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	0.3	0.0	<b>-0.3</b>
Services, Total	5.5	1,251.5	366.1	203.9	7.5	<b>1,834.5</b>	0.4	52.8	16.4	5.9	0.2	<b>75.7</b>
Business Services	0.6	300.5	102.8	38.8	73.2	<b>515.9</b>	0.0	27.5	7.3	2.6	0.7	<b>38.1</b>
Amusement, Recreation	0.2	52.4	35.1	12.9	(b)	<b>100.6</b>	0.0	-0.6	3.2	0.0	0.0	<b>2.7</b>
Health Services	1.5	267.6	78.3	61.2	21.1	<b>429.7</b>	0.1	5.3	1.9	0.9	-0.2	<b>1.9</b>
Educational Services	(b)	59.0	10.5	8.0	(b)	<b>77.5</b>	0.0	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.0	<b>1.6</b>
Engineering and Management	(b)	121.4	40.0	12.8	(b)	<b>174.2</b>	0.0	3.2	0.6	0.6	0.0	<b>4.4</b>
Motion Pictures	(b)	135.1	(a)	(a)	(b)	<b>135.1</b>	0.0	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>6.4</b>
Government, Total	12.7	504.8	118.3	155.6	35.8	<b>827.2</b>	0.5	-5.0	0.7	6.9	1.0	<b>4.1</b>
Federal Government, Total	1.2	60.7	14.9	19.7	41.3	<b>137.8</b>	0.0	-2.2	-0.4	0.7	0.6	<b>-1.3</b>
State Government, Total	2.6	65.3	19.4	20.6	31.4	<b>139.3</b>	0.2	-1.6	-0.4	0.6	1.2	<b>0.0</b>
Local Government, Total	8.9	378.8	84.0	115.3	3.3	<b>590.3</b>	0.3	-1.2	1.5	5.6	-0.1	<b>6.1</b>

Note: (a) Included in Amusement and Recreation Sector; (b) Sector not shown separately in original EDD data for this county.

\* Sectors providing more than 75,000 jobs regionwide, except farm sector.

\*\* Excludes self employed, unpaid family members, household domestic workers, and workers on strike.

\*\*\* For Imperial County, includes mining.